Electronically Filed
Docket: 16-CRB-0010-SD (2014)
Filing Date: 12/10/2018 03:50:04 PM EST

Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES Washington, DC

In the Matter of)	
)	Docket No. 16-CRB-0010-SD (2014-17)
Distribution of the)	
2014-17 Satellite Funds)	
)	

COMMENTS OF PROGRAM SUPPLIERS ON THE EXISTENCE OF CONTROVERSIES

The Motion Picture Association of America, Inc. ("MPAA"), on behalf of producers and distributors of syndicated series, including non-team sports, movies, and specials broadcast by television stations whose signals are carried as distant signals by satellite systems ("Program Suppliers"), hereby submits its comments in response to the request for comments published by the Copyright Royalty Judges ("Judges") on November 9, 2018. *See Distribution of Cable And Satellite Royalty Funds*, 83 Fed. Reg. 56106 (November 9, 2018) ("Notice"). The Notice requests comments regarding "the existence and extent of any controversies regarding distribution of the 2014 through 2017 cable and satellite royalty funds." Notice at 56106. MPAA addresses controversies as to the 2014 through 2017 satellite royalty funds ("2014-17 Satellite Funds") in these comments.

I. Controversies With Respect to the 2014-17 Satellite Funds

A. Allocation Phase Controversies

Controversies exist in the Allocation Phase regarding distribution of the 2014-17 Satellite Funds. Historically, the Judges have separated cable and satellite royalty proceedings into two phases, the Allocation Phase and the Distribution Phase. In Allocation Phase proceedings,

MPAA has represented the interests of <u>all</u> copyright owners of syndicated series, movies, specials and non-team sports broadcast by television stations during the royalty years at issue in those proceedings ("Program Suppliers"). MPAA intends to represent the interests of those same Program Suppliers in Allocation Phase proceedings regarding the distribution of the 2014-17 Satellite Funds.

A controversy currently exists regarding the allocation of royalties among the claimant groups who have historically participated in Allocation Phase proceedings as to the 2014-17 Satellite Funds. The controversy encompasses whether the allocation of royalties in the Allocation Phase should be based on an allocation methodology that considers only claims which have satisfied Section 119's eligibility criteria. During the 2010-13 cable and satellite proceedings, Program Suppliers sought discovery from the other Allocation Phase Parties in order to address these eligibility issues and their impact on the methodologies to be presented to the Judges in those proceedings. The Judges rejected Program Suppliers' discovery request, not on its merits, but rather because they concluded that Program Suppliers had "effectively agreed," in Joint Comments filed by all the Allocation Phase Parties in the 2010-13 Cable Allocation Phase proceeding, to a historical process of allowing each category representative to make eligibility determinations in its respective category, and for Allocation Phase determinations to be based on the assessment of value among program categories without considering eligibility issues.² Program Suppliers have not entered any such agreement as to the 2014-17 Satellite Funds, and wish to have the Judges resolve this controversy at the outset of the Allocation Phase proceedings regarding the 2014-17 Satellite Funds. See Cable Order at 6, n.10.

_

¹ The Judges have not yet adopted Allocation Phase program category definitions for the 2014-17 Satellite Funds.

² See Order Regarding Discovery, Docket No. 14-CRB-0010-CD (2010-13) at 5-7 (July 21, 2016) ("Cable Order"); Order Regarding Discovery, Docket No. 14-CRB-0011-SD (2010-13) at 1 (July 21, 2016) ("Satellite Order").

If Allocation Phase hearings are held, MPAA intends to participate fully in those hearings as the Allocation Phase representative of the Program Suppliers category. MPAA will represent the interests of producers and distributors of syndicated series, movies, specials, and non-team sports, including the interests of copyright owners who have agreed to representation by MPAA. Finally, Program Suppliers note that the 2010-13 Satellite Allocation Phase proceeding remains pending before the Judges, with Written Direct Statements due to be filed on March 22, 2019. See Order Adopting Satellite Allocation Phase Procedural Schedule, Docket No. 14-CRB-0011-SD (2010-13) at 1 (November 2, 2018). It would be inefficient for the Judges and the parties to litigate Allocation Phase proceedings for the 2014-17 Satellite Funds before the 2010-13 Satellite Allocation Phase proceeding is fully resolved, as that proceeding may impact satellite Allocation Phase proceedings for later royalty years. Accordingly, Program Suppliers recommend that the Judges hold any Allocation Phase proceedings regarding the 2014-17 Satellite Funds in abeyance until the royalty share awards for the 2010-13 satellite royalty funds become final and non-appealable.

B. Distribution Phase Controversies

In any Distribution Phase proceedings regarding the 2014-17 Satellite Funds, MPAA will represent the MPAA-represented Program Suppliers exclusively. MPAA-represented Program Suppliers have claims to royalties awarded for movies, syndicated programming, and special programs, including non-team sports programming, as to the 2014-17 Satellite Funds. To the extent a claimant not represented by MPAA makes a claim within the Program Suppliers category, a Distribution Phase controversy would exist against the MPAA-represented Program Suppliers. MPAA-represented Program Suppliers are aware of Distribution Phase controversies in what the Judges have historically referred to as the Program Suppliers category between the MPAA-represented Program Suppliers and those Program Suppliers represented by Multigroup

Claimants ("MC") as to the 2015-17 Satellite Funds.³ MPAA has not reached a Distribution Phase settlement with MC as to the 2015-17 Satellite Funds, and it anticipates that a hearing may be necessary to resolve those controversies. If Distribution Phase hearings are held, MPAA intends to participate fully in those hearings. MPAA will represent the producers and/or distributors of syndicated series, including non-team sports, movies, and specials who have agreed to representation by MPAA. MPAA recommends that any Distribution Phase proceedings related to the 2014-17 Satellite Funds be consolidated with or conducted concurrently with Distribution Phase proceedings related to the 2014-17 Cable Funds.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Gregory O. Olaniran

Gregory O. Olaniran

D.C. Bar No. 455784

Lucy Holmes Plovnick

D.C. Bar No. 488752

Alesha M. Dominique

D.C. Bar No. 990311

MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP

1818 N Street NW, 7th Floor

Washington, D.C. 20036

Telephone: (202) 355-7917

Facsimile: (202) 355-7887

goo@msk.com

lhp@msk.com

amd@msk.com

Dated: December 10, 2018

_

³ Program Suppliers have been unable to locate a claim to the 2014 satellite royalty fund filed by either MC, or its predecessor entity Independent Producers Group ("IPG") in the records of the Copyright Royalty Board.